Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/545,162	LAPIDUS ET AL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Juliet C. Switzer	1634
All Participants: Status of Application: first action RCE		
(1) <u>Juliet C. Switzer</u> .	(3) <u>Jen Karnakis</u> .	
(2) <u>Cynthia Kozakiewitz</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 November 2004	Time: morning	•
Type of Interview:  ☑ Telephonic ☑ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica	ant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No If Yes, provide a brief description:	•	* , *
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		n
rejourne) disoussed.		
Claims discussed:		
7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13	3 "	
Prior art documents discussed:	<i>v</i>	
i noi ait documents discussed.		
	•	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Examiner's amendments were discussed which would place the claims in condition for allowance.		
Part III.	<b>1</b>	
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
		•
Y		- 10
		,
		v.
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		